IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Summary SUIT NO. 3918 OF 1990

Usha Ramanlal Parikh ... Plaintiff
VS.
M/s. Suresh Chandra Thakorlal & ors. ... Defendants

Mr. A. L. Pandya i/b. Pandya Gandhi & Co., for Plaintiff .
None for Defendant

CORAM: **D.G. KARNIK J**.

DATE: 29/6/2006

P.C.:

1. Heard learned counsel for the Plaintiff. None present for the Defendants when called. The Defendants have not filed their written statement. Counsel for the Plaintiff therefore prays for a decree under Order 8 Rule 10 of C.P.C.
2. In the absence of the written statement averments made in the plaint are deemed to be admitted. The suit is a simple suit for recovery of money. The suit of the Plaintiff is therefore decreed under Order 8 Rule 10 of C.P.C., in terms of prayer clause (a).

(D.G. KARNIK J.)